

q -ANALOGUES OF THE BARNES MULTIPLE ZETA FUNCTIONS

YOSHINORI YAMASAKI

*Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University,
Hakozaki Fukuoka 812-8581 Japan.
ma203032@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp*

February 8, 2008

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce q -analogues of the Barnes multiple zeta functions. We show that these functions can be extended meromorphically to the whole plane, and moreover, tend to the Barnes multiple zeta functions when $q \uparrow 1$ for all complex numbers.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: *Primary* 11M41, 11M35

Key words and phrases: *Barnes' multiple zeta functions, Hurwitz's zeta functions, q -series, q -binomial coefficients, classical limit.*

1 Introduction

The aim of the present paper is to introduce q -analogues of the Barnes multiple zeta function ([3]);

$$\zeta_r(s, z; \boldsymbol{\omega}) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} (n_1 \omega_1 + \dots + n_r \omega_r + z)^{-s} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > r),$$

where $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ are complex parameters which lie on some half plane. We study an analytic continuation of the q -analogue of $\zeta_r(s, z; \boldsymbol{\omega})$. We determine especially, *true* q -analogues of the Barnes multiple zeta function when $\omega_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Here, by a true q -analogue, we mean when the classical limit $q \uparrow 1$ of the q -analogue reproduces the original zeta function for *all* $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Recall the Hurwitz zeta function's case, that is, the case $r = 1$. Let $0 < q < 1$ and $[z]_q := (1 - q^z)/(1 - q)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In [6] (see also [5]) we studied q -analogues of the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + z)^{-s}$ defined via the q -series with two complex variables $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$;

$$\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, t, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+z)t}}{[n+z]_q^s} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0).$$

The function $\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, t, z)$ is continued meromorphically to the whole s, t -plane. We obtained the necessary and sufficient condition for the variable $t \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, t, z)$ is a true q -analogue of $\zeta(s, z)$. Namely, these functions $\tilde{\zeta}_q^{(\nu)}(s, z) := \tilde{\zeta}_q(s, s - \nu, z)$ ($\nu \in \mathbb{N}$) give true q -analogues of the Hurwitz zeta function among the functions of the form $\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, \varphi(s), z)$ where $\varphi(s)$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. The main purpose is to generalize the results in [6] to $r \geq 1$.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we define the q -analogue $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z)$ of the Barnes multiple zeta function for $\omega_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and give the main theorem (Theorem 2.1). In Section 3, we first study an analytic continuation of the q -analogue $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z)$ and then prove the main theorem. In Section 4, we study the q -analogue $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \omega)$ of the multiple zeta functions for general parameters ω . Using the binomial theorem, we give an analytic continuation of q -analogues (Proposition 4.1). In the appendix, we introduce a q -analogue $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$ of the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ associated to the q -analogue $\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, t, z)$ of the Hurwitz zeta function. We first observe fundamental properties of $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$. The rest of the appendix is devoted to study q -analogues of the limit formula of Lerch (Proposition A.3) and the Gauss-Legendre formula (Proposition A.5).

Throughout the paper, we assume $0 < q < 1$. We put $[n]_q! := [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, for non-negative integers m and n , we define the q -binomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{(q; q)_m}{(q; q)_n (q; q)_{m-n}},$$

where $(a; q)_m := \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} (1 - aq^l)$ for $m \geq 1$ and $(a; q)_0 := 1$. We denote the field of complex numbers, the ring of rational integers and the set of positive integers by $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ respectively. Also, if Q is a set, Q_P stands for the set of all elements in Q which satisfy the condition P .

2 Definition of q -analogues and the main theorem

Let $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \notin -\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. We study a q -analogue of the Barnes multiple zeta function

$$\zeta_r(s, z) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} (n_1 + \cdots + n_r + z)^{-s}$$

defined by the following q -series;

$$\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1 t + n_2(t-1) + \cdots + n_r(t-r+1)}}{[n_1 + \cdots + n_r + z]_q^s}.$$

The series $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(t) > r - 1$. When $r = 1$, we put $\zeta_q(s, t, z) := \zeta_{q,1}(s, t, z)$. In view of the results in [6], we put $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z) := \zeta_{q,r}(s, s - \nu, z)$ and $\zeta_q^{(\nu)}(s, z) := \zeta_q(s, s - \nu, z)$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $t = \varphi(s)$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. Then the formula*

$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_{q,r}(s, \varphi(s), z) = \zeta_r(s, z) \quad (s \in \mathbb{C})$$

holds if and only if the function $\varphi(s)$ can be written as $\varphi(s) = s - \nu$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2.2. (i) By Theorem 2.1, it is clear that the functions of the type $\sum_{\nu: \text{finite}} a_q^{(\nu)}(s, z) \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z)$ for some holomorphic functions $a_q^{(\nu)}(s, z)$ satisfying $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \sum_{\nu: \text{finite}} a_q^{(\nu)}(s, z) = 1$ are also true q -analogues of $\zeta_r(s, z)$. Note that the q -analogue of the Hurwitz zeta function discussed in [6] is given by $\tilde{\zeta}_q^{(\nu)}(s, z) = \zeta_q^{(\nu)}(s, z) \times q^{z(s-\nu)}$.

(ii) The q -analogue of the Hurwitz zeta function studied in [10] is different from ours. It is not form of the (q -) Dirichlet series and, in fact, is needed an extra term (precisely, see [6, Corollary 2.4.]).

It is easy to see that $\zeta_r(s, z)$ is expressed as

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_r(s, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+r-1}{r-1} (n+z)^{-s}.$$

To obtain a similar expression for $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z)$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. (i) For $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, it holds that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{d=0}^l \begin{bmatrix} m-1+d \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^d = \begin{bmatrix} m+l \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

(ii) For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_r = n}} q^{n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + rn_r} = q^n \begin{bmatrix} n+r-1 \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Proof. The formula (2.2) is well-known (see, [1], also [4]). We show the formula (2.3) by induction on r . It is clear that (2.3) holds for $r = 1$. Suppose it holds for $r - 1$. Then the left hand side of (2.3) is equal to

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^n q^{n_1 + \dots + n_r} \sum_{\substack{n_2, \dots, n_r \geq 0 \\ n_2 + \dots + n_r = n - n_1}} q^{n_2 + 2n_3 + \dots + (r-1)n_r} = q^n \sum_{n_1=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + r - 2 \\ r - 2 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{n_1}.$$

Using the formula (2.2) for $l = n$, $m = r - 1$ and $d = n_1$, we obtain the desired formula. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. It holds that

$$(2.4) \quad \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n+r-1 \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{n(t-r+1)}}{[n+z]_q^s}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_r = n}} \frac{q^{(t+1)(n_1 + \dots + n_r) - (n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + rn_r)}}{[n_1 + \dots + n_r + z]_q^s} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(t+1)n}}{[n+z]_q^s} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_r = n}} q^{-(n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + rn_r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting q^{-1} for q into (2.3) yields

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_r = n}} q^{-(n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + rn_r)} = q^{-n} \begin{bmatrix} n+r-1 \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}} = q^{-nr} \begin{bmatrix} n+r-1 \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Hence we obtain the formula (2.4). $\square$

3 Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 2.1. We first provide analytic continuations of $\zeta_r(s, z)$ with respect to s (see [9]) and study of $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z)$ with respect to t . Since we have the following ladder relations

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_r(s, z) &= \zeta_r(s, z+1) + \zeta_{r-1}(s, z), \\ \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z) &= q^{t-r+1} \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z+1) + \zeta_{q,r-1}(s, t, z), \end{aligned}$$

it is sufficient to study the analytic continuation when $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. Here we understand $\zeta_0(s, z) = z^{-s}$ and $\zeta_{q,0}(s, t, z) = [z]_q^{-s}$.

3.1 An analytic continuation of $\zeta_r(s, z)$

For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we put $(x)_l := x(x+1) \cdots (x+l-1) = \Gamma(x+l)/\Gamma(x)$. Then $(x)_l$ can be written as $(x)_l = \sum_{j=0}^l s(l, j) x^j$ where $s(l, j)$ is the Stirling number of the first kind. Hence we have

$$\binom{n+r-1}{r-1} = \frac{(n)_r}{n(r-1)!} = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^r s(l, j) n^{j-1} = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_r^l(z) (n+z)^l,$$

where $P_r^l(z)$ ($0 \leq l \leq r-1$) is a polynomial in z defined by

$$P_r^l(z) := \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{j=l}^{r-1} \binom{j}{l} s(r, j+1) (-z)^{j-l}.$$

Thus, we have by (2.1)

$$(3.2) \quad \zeta_r(s, z) = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_r^l(z) \zeta(s-l, z).$$

Recall also the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula (see, e.g., [1, p.619]): For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $a < b$, a C^∞ -function $f(x)$ on $[a, \infty)$, and an arbitrary integer $M \geq 0$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=a}^b f(n) &= \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{1}{2}(f(a) + f(b)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (f^{(k)}(b) - f^{(k)}(a)) - \frac{(-1)^{M+1}}{(M+1)!} \int_a^b \tilde{B}_{M+1}(x) f^{(M+1)}(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where B_k is the Bernoulli number and $\tilde{B}_k(x)$ is the periodic Bernoulli polynomial defined by $\tilde{B}_k(x) = B_k(x - [x])$ with $[x]$ being the largest integer not exceeding x . Putting $f(x) := (x+z)^{-s}$, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta(s, z) &= \frac{1}{s-1} z^{-s+1} + \frac{1}{2} z^{-s} + \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (s)_k z^{-s-k} \\ &\quad - \frac{(s)_{M+1}}{(M+1)!} \int_0^\infty \tilde{B}_{M+1}(x) (x+z)^{-s-M-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$, the equation (3.4) gives an analytic continuation of the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, z)$ to the region $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -M$. Therefore, by (3.2) and (3.4), we obtain the following

Proposition 3.1. *For any integers $M_l \geq 0$ ($0 \leq l \leq r-1$), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_r(s, z) &= \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{P_r^l(z)}{s-l-1} z^{-s+l+1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_r^l(z) z^{-s+l} + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_r^l(z) \sum_{k_l=1}^{M_l} \frac{B_{k_l+1}}{(k_l+1)!} (s-l)_{k_l} z^{-s+l-k_l} \\ &\quad - \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{P_r^l(z)(s-l)_{M_l+1}}{(M_l+1)!} \int_0^\infty \tilde{B}_{M_l+1}(x) (x+z)^{-s+l-M_l-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

This gives an analytic continuation of $\zeta_r(s, z)$ to the region $\operatorname{Re}(s) > M$ where $M := \max\{-M_l + l \mid 0 \leq l \leq r-1\}$. $\square$

3.2 An analytic continuation of $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z)$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} n+r-1 \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix}_q &= \frac{1}{[r-1]_q!} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1 - q^{n+z} + q^{n+z} - q^{n+j}}{1 - q} \\ &= \frac{1}{[r-1]_q!} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \left([n+z]_q - q^{n+j} [z-j]_q \right) = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} q^{n(r-1-l)} P_{q,r}^l(z) [n+z]_q^l, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{q,r}^l(z)$ ($0 \leq l \leq r-1$) is a function of z defined by

$$P_{q,r}^l(z) := \frac{(-1)^{r-1-l}}{[r-1]_q!} \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_{r-1-l} \leq r-1} q^{m_1 + \dots + m_{r-1-l}} [z - m_1]_q \cdots [z - m_{r-1-l}]_q$$

for $0 \leq l \leq r-2$ and $P_{q,r}^{r-1}(z) := 1/[r-1]_q!$. Therefore we have by (2.4)

$$(3.5) \quad \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z) = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) \zeta_q(s-l, t-l, z).$$

For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{q,2}(s, t, z) &= \zeta_q(s-1, t-1, z) - q[z-1]_q \zeta_q(s, t, z), \\ \zeta_{q,3}(s, t, z) &= \frac{1}{1+q} \left\{ \zeta_q(s-2, t-2, z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (q[z-1]_q + q^2[z-2]) \zeta_q(s-1, t-1, z) + q^3[z-1]_q [z-2]_q \zeta_q(s, t, z) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now recall the analytic continuation of $\zeta_q(s, t, z)$ proved in [6]. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $f_q(x) := q^{xt}(1 - q^{x+z})^{-s}$. Define the polynomial $b_j^\varepsilon(s)$ ($0 \leq \varepsilon \leq j$) in s by the following equation:

$$\frac{d^j}{dx^j} \{ (1 - q^{x+z})^{-s} \} = (\log q)^j \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^j b_j^\varepsilon(s) (1 - q^{x+z})^{-s-\varepsilon}.$$

By the Leibniz rule, we have

$$f_q^{(k)}(x) = (\log q)^k q^{xt} \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^k c_k^\varepsilon(s, t) (1 - q^{x+z})^{-s-\varepsilon}, \quad c_k^\varepsilon(s, t) := \sum_{j=\varepsilon}^k \binom{k}{j} t^{k-j} b_j^\varepsilon(s).$$

Choosing $f(x) = f_q(x)$ and $M = N$ in (3.3), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \zeta_q(s, t, z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-q^z}{1-q} \right)^{-s} - \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^k \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} c_k^\varepsilon(s, t) \left(\frac{1-q^z}{1-q} \right)^{-s-\varepsilon} \frac{(\log q)^k}{(1-q)^\varepsilon} \\ + (1-q)^s I_{q,0}^0(s, t, z) + \frac{(-1)^N (\log q)^{N+1} (1-q)^s}{(N+1)!} \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^{N+1} c_{N+1}^\varepsilon(s, t) I_{q,\varepsilon}^{N+1}(s, t, z),$$

where

$$I_{q,\varepsilon}^m(s, t, z) := \int_0^\infty \tilde{B}_m(x) q^{xt} (1 - q^{x+z})^{-s-\varepsilon} dx.$$

Note that $\tilde{B}_0(x) = 1$. Recall now the Fourier expansion of $\tilde{B}_m(x)$ (see, e.g. [11, p. 191]);

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{B}_m(x) = -m! \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}nx}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}n)^m} \quad (m \geq 2).$$

Put $u = q^{x+z}$. Then we have

$$(3.8) \quad I_{q,0}^0(s, t, z) = -\frac{q^{-zt}}{\log q} b_{q^z}(t, -s+1),$$

$$(3.9) \quad I_{q,\varepsilon}^m(s, t, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{m! e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}nz}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}n)^m} \frac{q^{-zt}}{\log q} b_{q^z}(\delta n + t, -s - \varepsilon + 1) \quad (m \geq 2),$$

where $\delta = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}/\log q$. Here $b_w(\alpha, \beta)$ is the incomplete beta function defined by the integral

$$b_w(\alpha, \beta) := \int_0^w u^{\alpha-1} (1-u)^{\beta-1} du \quad (0 < \operatorname{Re}(w) < 1).$$

This integral converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$. Hence the function $b_w(\alpha, \beta)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that if $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$, we have $\lim_{w \rightarrow 1} b_w(\alpha, \beta) = B(\alpha, \beta)$ where $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is the beta function. Further, for any integer $N' \geq 2$, repeated use of integration by parts yields

$$(3.10) \quad b_w(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{l=1}^{N'-1} (-1)^{l-1} \frac{(1-\beta)_{l-1}}{(\alpha)_l} w^{\alpha+l-1} (1-w)^{\beta-l} \\ + (-1)^{N'-1} \frac{(1-\beta)_{N'-1}}{(\alpha)_{N'-1}} b_w(\alpha + N' - 1, \beta - N' + 1).$$

As a function of α , this expression gives an analytic continuation of $b_w(\alpha, \beta)$ to the region $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 1 - N'$. Hence the functions $I_{q,0}^0(s, t, z)$ and $I_{q,\varepsilon}^m(s, t, z)$ are meromorphically continued to the region $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 1 - N'$ for any integer $N' \geq 2$. Let $M \geq 0$ be an arbitrary large integer. Using the expressions (3.8) and (3.9), and applying the formula (3.10) to $I_{q,\varepsilon}^m(s, t, z)$ with $N' := M - N + 1 \geq 2$, we see that the formula (3.6) can be written as

$$(3.11) \quad \zeta_q(s, t, z) = -\frac{q^{-zt}(1-q)^s}{\log q} b_{q^z}(t, -s+1) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-q^z}{1-q} \right)^{-s} \\ + D_q^1(s, t, z; N, M) + D_q^2(s, t, z; N, M) + D_q^3(s, t, z; N, M),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_q^1(s, t, z; N, M) &:= - \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^k \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} c_k^\varepsilon(s, t) \left(\frac{1-q^z}{1-q} \right)^{-s-\varepsilon} \frac{(\log q)^k}{(1-q)^\varepsilon}, \\
 D_q^2(s, t, z; N, M) &:= \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^{N+1} \sum_{l=1}^{M-N} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(-1)^{N+l-1}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}n)^{N+1}} \frac{c_{N+1}^\varepsilon(s, t)(s+\varepsilon)_{l-1} q^{z(l-1)}}{(1-q)^l (\delta n + t)_l} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{1-q^z}{1-q} \right)^{-s-\varepsilon+1-l} \frac{(\log q)^N}{(1-q)^{\varepsilon-1}}, \\
 D_q^3(s, t, z; N, M) &:= \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^{N+1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(-1)^{M+1}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1}n)^{N+1}} \frac{c_{N+1}^\varepsilon(s, t)(s+\varepsilon)_{M-N} q^{z(M-N)}}{(1-q)^{M-N} (\delta n + t)_{M-N}} \frac{(\log q)^{N+1}}{(1-q)^\varepsilon} \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}nx} q^{x(t+M-N)} \left(\frac{1-q^{x+z}}{1-q} \right)^{-s-\varepsilon-M+N} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

The equation (3.11) gives an analytic continuation of $\zeta_q(s, t, z)$ to the region $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 1 - N' = N - M$. Note that, by the fact $c_k^k(s, t) = (s)_k$ and (3.7) again, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{q \uparrow 1} D_q^1(s, t, z; N, M) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (s)_k z^{-s-k},$$

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{q \uparrow 1} D_q^2(s, t, z; N, M) = \sum_{l=N+1}^M \frac{B_{l+1}}{(l+1)!} (s)_l z^{-s-l},$$

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{q \uparrow 1} D_q^3(s, t, z; N, M) = - \frac{(s)_{M+1}}{(M+1)!} \int_0^\infty \tilde{B}_{M+1}(x) (x+z)^{-s-M-1} dx.$$

Therefore, by (3.5) and (3.11), we obtain the following

Proposition 3.2. *For any integers $N_l \geq 1$ and $M_l \geq N_l + 1$ ($0 \leq l \leq r-1$), we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z) &= - \frac{(1-q)^{s-(r-1)}}{\log q} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) q^{-z(t-l)} (1-q)^{r-1-l} b_{q^z}(t-l, -s+l+1) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) \left(\frac{1-q^z}{1-q} \right)^{-s+l} + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) D_q^1(s-l, t-l, z; N_l, M_l) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) D_q^2(s-l, t-l, z; N_l, M_l) + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) D_q^3(s-l, t-l, z; N_l, M_l).
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives an analytic continuation of $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z)$ to the region $\operatorname{Re}(t) > M'$ where $M' := \max\{N_l - M_l + l \mid 0 \leq l \leq r-1\}$. $\square$

3.3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Note the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *It holds that*

$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} P_{q,r}^l(z) = P_r^l(z).$$

Proof. By the definition of $P_{q,r}^l(z)$, it is sufficient to show

$$(3.15) \quad (-1)^{r-1-l} \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_{r-1-l} \leq r-1} (z - m_1) \cdots (z - m_{r-1-l}) = \sum_{k=l}^{r-1} \binom{j}{l} s(r, j+1) (-z)^{j-l}.$$

Notice that the left hand side of (3.15) is equal to the coefficient of x^l in the polynomial $p_r(x) := \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (x - (z - j))$ in x . Since $p_r(x) = (x - z)_r / (x - z)$, we have

$$p_r(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} s(r, j+1) (x - z)^j = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \left(\sum_{j=l}^{r-1} \binom{j}{l} s(r, j+1) (-z)^{j-l} \right) x^l.$$

Hence the desired formula follows. $\square$

We are ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We first show the sufficiency. Let $t = s - \nu$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that, by (3.5), we have $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z) = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) \zeta_q^{(\nu)}(s - l, z)$. Hence, by [6, Theorem 2.1.], Lemma 3.3 and (3.2), we have

$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z) = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_r^l(z) \zeta(s - l, z) = \zeta_r(s, z) \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}).$$

We next show the necessity. Suppose that $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z)$ exists and satisfies $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z) = \zeta_r(s, z)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with some meromorphic function $t = \varphi(s)$. Then, by Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2, Lemma 3.3, (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14), it is necessary to hold

$$-\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \frac{(1-q)^{s-(r-1)}}{\log q} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) q^{-z(t-l)} (1-q)^{r-1-l} b_{q^z}(t-l, -s+l+1) = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{P_r^l(z)}{s-l-1} z^{-s+l+1}.$$

Assume $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 1$. Since $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} (1-q)^{s-(r-1)} / \log q$ diverges, it is necessary to hold

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{q \uparrow 1} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} P_{q,r}^l(z) q^{-z(t-l)} (1-q)^{r-1-l} b_{q^z}(t-l, -s+l+1) = 0.$$

Notice that $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} b_{q^z}(t-l, -s+l+1) = B(t-l, -s+l+1)$ for all l ($0 \leq l \leq r-1$). Further, since the left hand side of (3.16) is equal to $B(t-r+1, -s+r) = \Gamma(t-r+1)\Gamma(-s+r)/\Gamma(t-s+1)$, we have $t-s+1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, whence $t = \varphi(s) = s - \nu$ for some positive integer $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ in the region $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 1$. Since $\varphi(s)$ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$, we have $\varphi(s) = s - \nu$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. This proves the theorem. $\square$

4 Remarks on q -analogues of $\zeta_r(s, z; \omega)$

We introduce here a q -analogue of the Barnes multiple zeta function $\zeta_r(s, z; \omega)$ for a general parameter $\omega := (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$. Assume $\omega_i > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. We define a q -analogue of $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \omega)$ by the series

$$\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \omega) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1 \omega_1 t + n_2 \omega_2 (t-1) + \dots + n_r \omega_r (t-r+1)}}{[n_1 \omega_1 + \dots + n_r \omega_r + z]_q^s}.$$

We put $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z; \omega) := \zeta_{q,r}(s, s - \nu, z; \omega)$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. The series $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \omega)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(t) > r - 1$. It is clear that $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z) = \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \mathbf{1}_r)$ where $\mathbf{1}_r := \underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_r$. By the following

proposition, $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \omega)$ is continued meromorphically to the whole s, t -plane. The proof can be obtained by the similar way to [5, Proposition 1] and [6, Proposition 2.9].

Proposition 4.1. (i) *The function $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \omega)$ can be written as*

$$(4.1) \quad \zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \omega) = (1 - q)^s \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+l-1}{l} q^{lz} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 - q^{\omega_j(t-j+1+l)})^{-1}.$$

This gives a meromorphic continuation of $\zeta_{q,r}(s, t, z; \omega)$ to the whole s, t -plane with simple poles at $t \in j - 1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} + \delta_j \mathbb{Z}$ ($1 \leq j \leq r$). Here $\delta_j := 2\pi\sqrt{-1}/(\omega_j \log q)$.

(ii) *The function $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z; \omega)$ can be written as*

$$(4.2) \quad \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z; \omega) = (1 - q)^s \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+l-1}{l} q^{lz} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 - q^{\omega_j(s-\nu-j+1+l)})^{-1}.$$

This gives a meromorphic continuation of $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z; \omega)$ to the whole plane $\mathbb{C}$ with simple poles at the points in

$$\begin{cases} j + \delta_i \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} & (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}, 1 \leq i \leq r), \\ j + \delta_i \mathbb{Z} & (1 \leq j \leq \nu, 1 \leq i \leq r), \\ \nu + j + \delta_i \mathbb{Z} & (1 \leq j \leq r - 1, j + 1 \leq i \leq r). \end{cases}$$

In particular, the poles of $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z; \omega)$ on the real axis are given by $s = 1, 2, \dots, r, r + 1, \dots, r + \nu - 1$.

(iii) *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(-m, z; \omega) = (1 - q)^{-m} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^m (-1)^l \binom{m}{l} q^{lz} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 - q^{\omega_j(-m-\nu+l-j+1)})^{-1} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{q^{(m+\nu-1)z}}{\log q} \sum_{l=1}^r \frac{(-1)^{m+1} m! (l + \nu - 2)! q^{lz}}{(l + m + \nu - 1)! \omega_l} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^r (1 - q^{\omega_j(l-j)})^{-1} \right\}.$$

Proof. The formula (4.1) is obtained by the binomial theorem, whence (4.2) immediately follows. The formula (4.3) is derived from the fact $(s + m)/(1 - q^{\omega_l(s+m)}) = -1/(\omega_l \log q) + O(s + m)$ as $s \rightarrow -m$. $\square$

Theses facts motivate the

Conjecture 4.2. *Let $t = \varphi(s)$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. Then the formula*

$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_{q,r}(s, \varphi(s), z; \omega) = \zeta_r(s, z; \omega) \quad (s \in \mathbb{C})$$

holds if and only if the function $\varphi(s)$ can be written as $\varphi(s) = s - \nu$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

In fact, since $\zeta_1(s, z; \omega) = \omega^{-s} \zeta(s, z/\omega)$ and $\zeta_{q,1}(s, t, z; \omega) = [\omega]_q^{-s} \zeta_q(s, t, z/\omega)$ for $\omega > 0$, Conjecture 4.2 is true for $r = 1$ by (3.4) and (3.11).

A Associated q -analogue of the gamma function

In this appendix, we introduce a q -analogue of the gamma function defined via the q -analogue of the Hurwitz zeta function:

$$\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z) := \zeta_q^{(1)}(s, z) \times q^{z(s-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+z)(s-1)}}{[n+z]_q^s} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1).$$

Note that by (3.1), we have

$$(A.1) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z) = \tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z+1) + \frac{q^{z(s-1)}}{[z]_q^s}.$$

Imitating the Lerch formula [8] (the zeta regularization)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \zeta(s, z) \Big|_{s=0} = \log \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

we define a q -analogue $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$ of the gamma function by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z) := \exp \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z) \Big|_{s=0} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \tilde{\zeta}_q(s, 1) \Big|_{s=0} \right).$$

Then the function $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$ is well-defined as a single valued meromorphic function. Indeed, let

$$\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z) = a_0(z; q) + a_1(z; q)s + a_2(z; q)s^2 + \cdots$$

be the Taylor expansion of $\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z)$ around $s = 0$. Note that $\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z)$ is holomorphic at $s = 0$. Assume $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. Then, by Proposition 4.1, $\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z)$ has the following expression;

$$(A.2) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z) = (1-q)^s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+n-1}{n} \frac{q^{z(s-1+n)}}{1-q^{s-1+n}}.$$

Hence one can calculate the coefficient $a_1(z; q)$ by the same manner performed in [7] as

$$(A.3) \quad a_1(z; q) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{q^{(n-1)z}}{1-q^{n-1}} - z + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-z(1-q)}{(1-q)^2} q^{1-z} \log q - \left(\frac{q^{1-z}}{1-q} + \frac{1}{\log q} \right) \log(1-q).$$

Therefore $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$ is meromorphic in the region $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. If $-1 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0$, by the ladder relation (A.1), we have

$$a_1(z; q) = q^{-z} \log q^z - q^{-z} \log \left(\frac{1-q^z}{1-q} \right) + a_1(z+1; q).$$

Hence we have

$$(A.4) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z) = (q^{-z}[z]_q)^{-q^{-z}} \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z+1).$$

This gives a meromorphic continuation of $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$ to the region $\operatorname{Re}(z) > -1$. Repeating the same procedure, we see that $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$ can be extended as a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$.

From Theorem 2.1, by the Lerch formula, we have immediately

$$(A.5) \quad \lim_{q \uparrow 1} \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z) = \Gamma(z) \quad (z \notin -\mathbb{N}_0).$$

Moreover, $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$ satisfies the following properties.

Proposition A.1. *We have*

$$(A.6) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z+1) = (q^{-z}[z]_q)^{q^{-z}} \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z),$$

$$(A.7) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_q(1) = 1,$$

$$(A.8) \quad \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \log \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z+1) \geq 0 \quad (z \geq 0).$$

In particular, for a positive integer n , we have

$$(A.9) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_q(n+1) = q^{-\sum_{k=1}^n kq^{-k}} \prod_{k=1}^n ([k]_q)^{q^{-k}}.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$, (A.7) is obvious. The formula (A.6) is clear from (A.4). The assertion (A.9) follows from (A.6) and (A.7) by induction. To show the inequality (A.8), take the logarithm of $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$:

$$(A.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \log \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{q^{z(n-1)} - q^{n-1}}{1 - q^{n-1}} - z + 1 \\ &\quad + \frac{q^{-z}(1 - (1-q)z) - 1}{(1-q)^2} q \log q + \frac{1 - q^{1-z}}{1-q} \log(1-q). \end{aligned}$$

We calculate as

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \log \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z+1) = (\log q)^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1)^2}{n} \frac{q^{(z+1)(n-1)}}{1 - q^{n-1}} + \frac{(\log q)^2 q^{-z}}{(1-q)^2} \eta_q(z),$$

where $\eta_q(z) := (\log q)(1 - (1-q)(z+1)) - (1-q) \log(1-q) + 2(1-q)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\eta_q(z) \geq 0$ for all $0 < q < 1$ if $z \geq 0$, and this is indeed true. In fact, since $\frac{d}{dq} \eta_q(z) \leq 0$ for $0 < q < 1$, we conclude that $\eta_q(z) \geq \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \eta_q(z) = 0$. Hence the proposition follows. $\square$

Remark A.2. One can find the similar formulas to (A.6), (A.7) and (A.8) in the q -analogue of the Bohr-Morellup theorem for the Jackson q -gamma function in [2]. It has not yet been clarified that theses properties characterize the function $\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z)$.

By the expression (A.2) again, $\tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z)$ has the following Laurent expansion around $s = 1$:

$$(A.11) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_q(s, z) = \frac{q-1}{\log q} \frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma_q(z) + O(s-1) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0),$$

where

$$(A.12) \quad \gamma_q(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{nz}}{[n]_q} + (1-q) \left(-z + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\log(1-q)}{\log q} \right).$$

We next show a q -analogue of the Lerch limit formula [8]:

$$(A.13) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(\zeta(s, z) - \frac{1}{s-1} \right) = -\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(z).$$

Proposition A.3. *It holds that*

$$(A.14) \quad \gamma_q(z) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(\zeta_q(s, z) - \frac{q-1}{\log q} \frac{1}{s-1} \right) = -\frac{q-1}{\log q} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}'_q}{\tilde{\Gamma}_q}(z) + C_q(z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0),$$

where

$$C_q(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{q^{nz}}{[n]_q} - q^{1-z} - \frac{\log q}{1-q} (1 - (1-q)z) q^{1-z} + \frac{1-q}{\log q} \\ - q^{1-z} \log(1-q) - \frac{1-q}{\log q} \log(1-q) + \left(-z + \frac{1}{2}\right)(1-q)$$

and $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} C_q(z) = 0$. Put $\gamma_q := \gamma_q(1)$. Then we have, in particular, $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \gamma_q = \gamma$ where $\gamma = 0.577215\dots$ denotes the Euler constant.

Proof. By (A.10), we have

$$(A.15) \quad \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}'_q}{\tilde{\Gamma}_q}(z) = \frac{\log q}{1-q} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{zn}}{[n]_q} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{q^{nz}}{[n]_q} \right) - 1 \\ + \frac{(1-q) + (1 - (1-q)z) \log q}{(1-q)^2} q^{1-z} \log q + \frac{\log q}{1-q} q^{1-z} \log(1-q).$$

Plugging (A.12) into (A.15), we obtain the formula (A.14). It is straightforward to show the fact $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} C_q(z) = 0$ when $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. Hence we have $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \gamma_q = \gamma$ by the limit formulas (A.5), (A.13) and the facts $\Gamma(1) = 1$, $\Gamma'(1) = -\gamma$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark A.4. The q -analogue of the Lerch limit formula obtained in this paper is different from the one given in [7].

As a final remark, we give a q -analogue of the Gauss-Legendre formula.

Proposition A.5. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have*

$$[N]_q^{[1-Nz]} \tilde{\Gamma}_{q^N}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \cdots \tilde{\Gamma}_{q^N}\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(Nz) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{q^N}(z) \tilde{\Gamma}_{q^N}\left(z + \frac{1}{N}\right) \cdots \tilde{\Gamma}_{q^N}\left(z + \frac{N-1}{N}\right).$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward from (A.10). $\square$

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of math. and appl., vol. 71, Cambridge U.P., 1999.
- [2] R. Askey, The q -gamma and q -Beta functions, *Appl. Anal.* **8** (1978), 125–141.
- [3] E. Barnes, On the theory of the multiple gamma functions, *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **19** (1904), 374–425.
- [4] K. Kimoto, N. Kurokawa, S. Matsumoto and M. Wakayama, Multiple finite Riemann zeta function, *Acta Arith.* **116** (2) (2005), 173–187.

- [5] M. Kaneko, N. Kurokawa and M. Wakayama, A variation of Euler's approach to values of the Riemann zeta function, *Kyushu J. Math.* **57** (2003), 175–192.
- [6] K. Kawagoe, M. Wakayama and Y. Yamasaki, *q*-Analogues of the Riemann zeta, the Dirichlet *L*-functions, and a crystal zeta function, preprint, 2004. math.NT/0402135.
- [7] N. Kurokawa and M. Wakayama, On *q*-analogue of the Euler constant and Lerch's limit formula, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2003), 935–943.
- [8] M. Lerch.: Další studie v oboru Malmsténovských řad, *Rozpravy České Akad.* **3 No. 28** (1894), 1-61.
- [9] T. Seo, J. Choi, J. Gang, B. Ok, Note on the analytic continuation of the multiple Hurwitz zeta functions $\zeta_n(s, a)$, *Kyungpook Math J.* **33**(2) (1993), 127–131.
- [10] H. Tsumura, On modification of the *q*-*L*-series and its applications, *Nagoya Math. J.* **164** (2001), 185–197.
- [11] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, 4th edn, Cambridge University Press, 1927.